Academic Integrity Council

Official Minutes

Tuesday, November 7, 2023, 3:00-4:00 p.m.

HyFlex meeting: Frazier Hall room 214, ZOOM

In Attendance: Nancy Legge, Curtis Whitaker, Brooke Buffat

Ex Officio: Hala Abou Arraj

By ZOOM: Taher Deemyad, Ruiling Guo, Brenda Jacobsen, Chris Owens, Lucie Pelland, Amy Petersen, Abraham Romney, MaKynlee Adams

Absent: Representative for the Provost/Academic Affairs Office, Representative for ETS

Administrative Assistant: Lisa Hunt

I. Welcome and Introductions

II. Origin of the AIC
   A. Concerns that Led to the Establishment of the AIC
      1.) Concerns about Integrity. Concerns about academic integrity have been voiced for some time by the Academic Dishonesty Board and include the following:
         a. Contract cheating: students paying to have their work done by others
         b. Turnitin usage: technical features such as “Draft Coach” being turned on or off without faculty input
         c. ISUPP Policy 4000 use not being consistent across campus
         d. The AIC was approved by Faculty Senate in December 2022 and has been developed over the past year.

      2.) Concerns about Process
         a. Faculty being excluded from decisions

      3.) Concerns about Consistency across Campus

   B. Bylaws

   C. Standing Committee
      1.) Process
a. The Role of the Standing Committee is to evaluate individual cases of academic dishonesty.

2.) Members
   a. The Standing Committee is made up of experts in a given field.

III. Elections
   A. As of October 9, 2023: Faculty Senate voted to approve the nomination of Nancy Legge as the temporary Chair of the AIC.
   B. Faculty Senate voted to approve the appointment of Curtis Whitaker to the Standing Committee by Nancy Legge.

**ACTION** – Brenda Jacobsen nominated Nancy Legge as Chair of the AIC
Lucie Pelland seconded
Motion carried unanimously

IV Discussion Items:
   A. Academic Integrity and Generative AI Platforms
      1.) Syllabus statements
         a. These are necessary to clearly define usage of AI in a given course.
            Most likely ISU, because of rapid changes, will not have an overall statement about AI use; faculty need to state their own policies on their syllabi.
         2.) Use of ChatGPT
            a. Its practical applications are a great learning opportunity for students.
            b. But they create difficulties in testing students.
            c. Solutions will vary discipline to discipline.
            d. One point of view is that class assignments must be designed in such a way that AI cannot complete them. Another is that assignments should be structured around the subject matter, not in response to AI.
            e. AI detection software: Faculty must be trained in how to use it properly, understanding its strengths and limitations. Detection tools work with probabilities that must be understood in context.
   B. Current Trends/Problems/Concerns about Academic Integrity
   C. Additional Materials for the Website (Suggestions)
      a. Policy
      b. Reporting Form
c. Links

d. Articles

3.) Future Spring 2024 Meeting
   
a. Each Representative was tasked with talking to constituents about concerns and trends with academic integrity.
   
b. AIC’s next meeting is scheduled for early April; Lisa Hunt will circulate a poll to members of the Council to schedule the best day and time.

4.) Adjourned at 4:02p.m.