

Academic Integrity Council

Official Minutes

Tuesday, November 7, 2023, 3:00-4:00 p.m.

HyFlex meeting: Frazier Hall room 214, ZOOM

In Attendance: Nancy Legge, Curtis Whitaker, Brooke Buffat

Ex Officio: Hala Abou Arraj

By ZOOM: Taher Deemyad, Ruiling Guo, Brenda Jacobsen, Chris Owens, Lucie Pelland, Amy Petersen, Abraham Romney, MaKynlee Adams

Absent: Representative for the Provost/Academic Affairs Office, Representative for ETS

Administrative Assistant: Lisa Hunt

- I. Welcome and Introductions
- II. Origin of the AIC
 - A. Concerns that Led to the Establishment of the AIC
 - 1.) Concerns about Integrity. Concerns about academic integrity have been voiced for some time by the Academic Dishonesty Board and include the following:
 - a. Contract cheating: students paying to have their work done by others
 - b. Turnitin usage: technical features such as “Draft Coach” being turned on or off without faculty input
 - c. ISUPP Policy 4000 use not being consistent across campus
 - d. The AIC was approved by Faculty Senate in December 2022 and has been developed over the past year.
 - 2.) Concerns about Process
 - a. Faculty being excluded from decisions
 - 3.) Concerns about Consistency across Campus
 - B. Bylaws
 - C. Standing Committee
 - 1.) Process

- a. The Role of the Standing Committee is to evaluate individual cases of academic dishonesty.

2.) Members

- a. The Standing Committee is made up of experts in a given field.

III. Elections

- A. As of October 9, 2023: Faculty Senate voted to approve the nomination of Nancy Legge as the temporary Chair of the AIC.
- B. Faculty Senate voted to approve the appointment of Curtis Whitaker to the Standing Committee by Nancy Legge.

ACTION – Brenda Jacobsen nominated Nancy Legge as Chair of the AIC
Lucie Pelland seconded
Motion carried unanimously

IV Discussion Items:

A. Academic Integrity and Generative AI Platforms

1.) Syllabus statements

- a. These are necessary to clearly define usage of AI in a given course.

Most likely ISU, because of rapid changes, will not have an overall statement about AI use; faculty need to state their own policies on their syllabi.

2.) Use of ChatGPT

- a. Its practical applications are a great learning opportunity for students.
- b. But they create difficulties in testing students.
- c. Solutions will vary discipline to discipline.
- d. One point of view is that class assignments must be designed in such a way that AI cannot complete them. Another is that assignments should be structured around the subject matter, not in response to AI.
- e. AI detection software: Faculty must be trained in how to use it properly, understanding its strengths and limitations. Detection tools work with probabilities that must be understood in context.

B. Current Trends/Problems/Concerns about Academic Integrity

C. Additional Materials for the Website (Suggestions)

- a. Policy
- b. Reporting Form

- c. Links
- d. Articles

3.) Future Spring 2024 Meeting

- a. Each Representative was tasked with talking to constituents about concerns and trends with academic integrity.
- b. AIC's next meeting is scheduled for early April; Lisa Hunt will circulate a poll to members of the Council to schedule the best day and time.

4.) Adjourned at 4:02p.m.